

Bioremediation of Contaminated Water-Based on Various Technologies

Jiechao Cheng

Department of Horticulture, College of Horticulture & Landscape Architecture,
Yangtze University, Jingzhou, Hubei Province, China.

Email: jetrobert@live.com

Significance and Impact of the Study

Bioremediation as a biological technology has the relatively low-cost, low-pollution techniques, which generally have a high public acceptance and can be carried out on sites. Bioremediation has been used in large numbers of countries worldwide. There is no doubt that bioremediation has the great potential for dealing with certain types of site contamination. However, the principles, techniques, advantages, and disadvantages of bioremediation using in different contaminated water are not widely known or understood, especially among those who will have to deal directly with bioremediation proposals, such as site owners and regulators. Here, I intended to assist by providing a straightforward, practical view of the processes involved in bioremediation, the features and the issues to be considered.

Abstract

This paper presents a brief outline of the development of bioremediation technologies (Microorganism remediation, phytoremediation, animal remediation and other methods applied in bioremediation.) applied at heavy metals, eutrophication, petroleum spills, pesticide contamination and other organic pollutions in water. Ecological relationships of microorganisms and contaminates were clearly expressed here. And mechanisms and types (Phytoextraction, phytodegradation, rhizofiltration, phytostabilization, phytovolatilization) of phytoremediation were fully discussed followed by animal remediation, which was not a popular way of bioremediation for contamination. As for other ways of bioremediation, such as addition of nutrient activators or surfactants, precipitation, ion exchange et al was not a economical method, and cannot be utilized widely. The main impact factors (e.g. biological factors, environmental factors, pollutants), major advantages and disadvantages of bioremediation are discussed. Numerous natural and man-caused factors, especially the evolution of human industrial civilization and rapid progress of modern life, have polluted abundant water resources. Lots of compounds that are considered to be hazardous legally can be degraded by bioremediation. Residues of the treatment are usually harmless products to environment. Bioremediation can be proved less expensive than other technologies to clean contaminants. It also eliminates the potential threats to human health and environment. In short, here an overview of the recent status and potential areas of applications are sketched in this paper.

Keywords: Contaminated Water, Bioremediation, Phytoremediation, Animal Remediation, Heavy Metals, Eutrophication, Petroleum Spills, Pesticide Contamination, Organic Pollution, Microorganism

1. Introduction

The quality of human life on Earth is inextricably linked to the overall quality of water resources. It has been estimated that a minimum of 7.5 liters of water per person per day is required in the home for drinking, preparing food, and personal hygiene; at least 50 liters per person per day is needed to ensure all personal hygiene, food hygiene, domestic cleaning, and laundry needs [1]. This domestic water consumption is dwarfed by the demands of agriculture and ecosystems, even in wealthy countries where per capita domestic water consumption greatly exceeds these figures [2]. In early times, we believed that we had an unlimited abundance of water resources. Today, however, the resources in the world show, in greater or lesser degree, our carelessness and negligence in using them. Increases in environmental contamination lead to a progressive deterioration of environmental quality. This condition challenges our global society to find effective measures of remediation to reverse the negative conditions that severely threaten human and environmental health connected with the production, use, and disposal of hazardous substances were less well recognized. This is a worldwide problem, and the estimated number of contaminated sites is significant [3]. Excess loading of hazardous waste has led to scarcity of clean water and disturbances of soil thus limiting crop production [4].

Study results of the extra and intramural research programs of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences have contributed to the increasing public awareness that human diseases often have preventable environmental components. Pollution prevention and environmental remediation are interwoven into all strategies proposed for sustaining human and environmental health. The conventional techniques used for remediation have been to transfer contaminated water, move the contamination elsewhere and may create significant risks in the other water body, handling, and transport of hazardous material. Additionally, it is very difficult and increasingly expensive to find new landfill sites for removing the final disposal of the material.

Compared to other methods, bioremediation is a more promising and less expensive way for cleaning up contaminated water and some soil [5, 6]. Bioremediation uses biological agents, mainly microorganisms, e.g. yeast, fungi or bacteria to clean up contaminated water [7]. Microbes play an essential role in natural cycles and they are the primary stimulant in bioremediation of contaminated environments [8-12]. Establishment of microbial groups can be done in several ways, e.g. by promoting growth through addition of nutrients, by adding terminal electron acceptor or by controlling moisture and temperature conditions, among others [13-15]. In bioremediation processes, microorganisms use the contaminants as nutrient or energy sources [16]. Bioremediation uses relatively low-cost, low-technology techniques, which generally have a high public acceptance and can be carried out on sites. However, as the range of contaminants on which the effect is limited, the time scales involved are relatively long, and the residual contaminant levels achievable may not always be appropriate. Due to the need to thoroughly assess a site for suitability and to optimize conditions to achieve a satisfactory result, considerable experience and expertise may be required to design and implement a successful bioremediation program.

Bioremediation has been used in numbers of sites worldwide, including Europe and North America, with varying degrees of success. There is no doubt that bioremediation has the great potential for dealing with certain types of site contamination. However, the principles, techniques, advantages, and disadvantages of bioremediation using in different contaminated water are not widely known or understood, especially among those who will have to deal directly with bioremediation proposals,

44 such as site owners and regulators. Here, I intended to assist by providing a straightforward, practical
 45 view of the processes involved in bioremediation, the features and the issues to be considered.

46 **2. Principles or Bioremediation**

47 Bioremediation is defined as the process whereby organic wastes are biologically degraded under
 48 controlled conditions to an innocuous state, or to levels below limited concentration established by
 49 regulatory authorities [17].

50 The principle of bioremediation primarily comes from the control of microorganism in
 51 contaminated environment, generally it means microorganism remediation. Then following by the
 52 development of environmental biotechnology, the role of phytoremediation in administering
 53 contaminated environment was gradually valued, and researches on it were more than ever before.
 54 Now, bioremediation uses naturally occurring bacteria and fungi or plants to degrade or detoxify
 55 substances hazardous to human health or the environment. Contaminant compounds are transformed
 56 by living organisms through reactions that taking place as a part of their metabolic processes.
 57 Biodegradation of a compound is often the result of actions of multiple organisms. There are two
 58 main sections in bioremediation. Renovating contaminated water by plants which having special
 59 physiological and biochemical functions or directly by microorganisms. Secondly, designing
 60 rationally and utilizing biological treatments or circulates to block out or decrease the direct discharge
 61 of pollution sources to environment [18].

62 However, bioremediation has its limitations. Some contaminants, such as chlorinated organic or
 63 high aromatic hydrocarbons, are resistant to microbial attack. They are degraded either slowly or not
 64 at all. Hence, it is not easy to predict the rate of clean-up for a exercise of bioremediation. On the
 65 other hand, bioremediation techniques are typically more economical than traditional methods such as
 66 incineration, and some pollutants can be treated on site, and they are based on natural attenuation, so
 67 the public consider it is more acceptable than other technologies.

68 **3. Microogranism Remediation**

69 Biological treatment most commonly involves the breakdown of contamination into nontoxic forms
 70 by microbiological processes [19]. Microorganisms exist naturally or those are cultured specially used
 71 into microorganism remediation under controlled conditions to transform toxic contaminates to be
 72 non-toxic substance [20]. Microorganism remediation not only controls the water polluted by
 73 petroleum and other organic pollutions, but can also administer the water environment contaminated
 74 by heavy metals, nitrogen and phosphorus et al.

75 **3.1 Ecological Relationships of Microorganisms & Contaminates**

76 **3.1.1 Intergrowth**

77 The degradation of many contaminates is the result of co-metabolism of various microorganisms. One
 78 microbe usually cannot directly use the energy produced by its own supersession. Microorganisms of
 79 intergrowth provide each other substrates environments to growth. Co-metabolism is main
 80 mechanism of degradation of lots of contaminates.

81 **3.1.2 Alternation**

82 Alternation is very popular between degradation of microbes. Microbes satisfy needs of nutrition
 83 between each other, and benefiting together. The metabolized outcome of one microbe creates an
 84 ecological position to another microbe, and promoting the replace of population of communities.

85 **3.1.3 Competition**

86 There are competitions of nutrition and environmental factors existed in degradation microorganisms
 87 and other creatures. When other creatures are more likely to gain nutritional substrates, light and room
 88 etc. in environment, the speed of growing and breeding is increasing, and they become the dominant
 89 species, the growth of degrading microbes will be limited.

90 **3.1.4 Remediation for heavy metal contamination**

91 The mechanisms of heavy metal-contaminated microorganism remediation are mainly including
 92 conversions of immobilization and pattern of heavy metal, which are affected by microbes. The
 93 conversion of immobilization is completed by some groups with capacities of coordination in the
 94 surface of the cell wall of microorganisms. These groups form covalent or ionic bond with absorbed
 95 metal ions to absorb heavy ions, and the capacity of absorbing metal sometimes is better than
 96 synthetic chemical adsorbent. For instance, the volume of *Rhizopus nigricans* hyphostroma absorbing
 97 plumbum can reach 135.8 mg (unprocessed) or 121 mg (embedding by gelatin) per gram [21]. The
 98 change of heavy metal morphology or the decline of biological effectiveness of heavy metal is
 99 affected by microbial life activity, which is achieved by morphological transformation of microbes for
 100 heavy metal. Eventually, it relieves the pollution of heavy metal. There is a research shows that the
 101 cashmere of cyanobacteria and algae can remove heavy metals in wastewater. Sulphate reducing
 102 bacterium produces H₂S, which reduces heavy metals to be sulphide with very low water solubility to
 103 deposit, such as ZnS, CdS and CuS. In this way, it controls the heavy metal contamination [22].
 104 Frankenberger et al. accelerated in situ bioremediation through cultivation, optimized management
 105 and adding supplement based on biological methylation of selenium to make it volatile, then
 106 decreasing the toxicity of sediments of selenium in Resterson reservoir in California [23].

107 **3.1.5 Remediation for eutrophication**

108 The generation of algae protoplasm in photosynthesis depends on exists of carbon, nitrogen and
 109 phosphorus, which are the critical factors for growth of algae as well as the eutrophication of lake.
 110 Phosphorus is often regarded as the limiting factor for eutrophication, because the available content of
 111 nitrogen in algae is more than phosphorus'. From the rule of British national environmental
 112 department, when the overall concentration of phosphorus in the still water is 0.086mg per liter, it
 113 comes to the threshold of eutrophication [24]. The point of technologically eliminating eutrophication
 114 in lake is to whittle down the nitrogen, phosphorus in water and organic carbon in bed mud.
 115 Mechanical dredging method is the most common, water flushing and bioremediation are also
 116 effective. Microbes can be used to remove the nitrogen and phosphorus from water with
 117 eutrophication. Pinar et al. separated *Klebsiella oxytoca*, which can exist in 1M nitrate, and eliminate
 118 it [25]. Photoautotrophic microorganism *Phormidium bohneri* can also remove nitrogen and
 119 phosphorus in certain conditions, and the advantage is the energy coming from solar [26]. The
 120 removal of nitrogen by microbes is mainly accomplished with nitrification and denitrification. And
 121 the removal of phosphorus by microbes is through the process of absorbing phosphorus of *Aeromonas*
 122 et al. on anaerobic or aerobic conditions. Differing from general methods, microbial phosphorus
 123 removal technique has a better result, a more stable process, a cheaper cost and an easier operation.
 124 Therefore, it is utilized widely, and provides effective means to eliminate the nitrogen and phosphorus
 125 in water [27].

126 **3.1.6 Remediation for petroleum spill**

127 Production, application and emission of various petroleum products, oil spill incident make the
 128 petroleum pollution become main contaminations in ocean environment. And controlling it has
 129 become research focus to environmental experts in the world. Natural microorganisms in most

environments can degrade and transform petroleum. Bioremediation which is developed based on the biological degradation aims to enhance the speed of oil degradation and eventually converting petroleum pollution to be innocuous outcomes. April TM et al. separated four *Pseudalleschena boydii*s which could degrade petroleum in contaminated soil. Three of them were able to degrade linear aliphatic petroleum hydrocarbon, another could degrade volatile chain alkanes, such as ethane and propane [28]. Toyohart Hozumi et al. found that Nakhodka oil spill accident changed the community structure of microbes in polluted coast area, and those microbes with the capacity of degradation became dominant species. On the natural conditions, only saturated hydrocarbons and some small molecule aromatic hydrocarbons were degraded easily, other degradations of heavy aromatic hydrocarbons, colloidal matters and bitumen et al. were pretty slow. But after adding *Terra Zyme* TM, the rate of degradation of dead oil was raised [29]. Numata et al. fostered a new microbe, which could degrade 30 mg of trichloroethylene per liter in 24 hours and half of 100 mg per liter [30]. Someone had already separated several thermophiles in environments of the United Arab Emirates, they had the maximum increasing speed in temperature of 60 to 80 degree Celsius and break down long chain organic molecules to be CO₂, H₂O et al. in 40 degree Celsius [31]. Some psychrophiles could grow and reproduce in 0 degree Celsius or cooler temperature, even so, oil degradation bacteria enriched at oil spill location showed their good potential capacity of remediation [32].

3.1.7 Remediation for organic contamination

The vigorous growth of modern industry and ocean transportation has greatly improved people's life. On the other hand, the negative effect in the environment it brings becomes more and more apparent. The accumulation in environment and cumulative effect in food chain of toxic pollutants are not an environmental problem to be ignored. Microbes have potentialities of degrading most organic compounds to be inorganic substance, like water, carbon dioxide and minerals, so they are the primary factors in process of degradation. ³H-Thymidine infiltrating and ¹⁴C-Glucose method et al. are firstly applied in the west maritime space of Xiamen in China to determine relevant parameters, the results indicated that nine epoxy units of octylbenzene epoxy resin or two epoxy units of nonylbenzene epoxy resin have the best effect for desorbing onions, benzene and phenanthrene [33].

3.1.8 Remediation for pesticide pollution

The ocean environment, especially beaches and coastal waters, to some degree are contaminated by pesticides. People have separated many microbes, including bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes and algae which could degrade pesticides. The research of bacteria is most, next is fungi. Herbicide is utilized commonly in recent years, and following by the environmental pollution. Therefore, the isolation and application of microorganisms for degrading herbicide become active research areas now [34, 35]. Alian et al. added napropamide into soil to bring out the production of the pesticide-degrading bacteria [36]. Ronald et al. put vinclozolin into soil continuously to induce and screen pseudomonas of pesticide-degrading bacteria [37]. It is found that degrading gene of pesticide-degrading bacteria mostly existed in plasmids, and expressed in other bacteria strains through plasmid transfer. Meanwhile, one bacteria strain was able to carry multiple degrading plasmids, thus expanding popularities and enhancing capacities of degradation [38].

3.2 Phytoremediation

Although the application of biotechnology of microbes has been successful with petroleum-based constituents, microbial digestion has met the limited success for widespread residual organic and metals pollutants. In natural ecosystems, plants act as filters and metabolize substances generated by nature. Sometimes, plants are also used to accelerate the rate of degradation or to remove

174 contaminants, either on their own or alongside with microorganisms [39]. Phytoremediation is an
 175 emerging technology with plants to remove contaminants from soil and water [40, 41]. Success of any
 176 remediation system based on plants depends on the interaction of root exudates and in-situ
 177 microorganisms.

178 **3.2.1 Types of Phytoremediation**

179 **3.2.1.1 Phytoextraction**

180 Phytoextraction is the process of plants to accumulate contaminants in roots and aboveground shoots
 181 or leaves. It removes metal pollutants (Cd, Pb, Zn, As etc.), and accumulates them in parts of plants.
 182 *Viola baoshanensis* [42, 43], *Sedum alfredii* [39] and *Rumex crispus* [44] are some examples.

183 **3.2.1.2 Phytodegradation**

184 Phytodegradation is the breakdown of contaminants through the activity existing in the rhizosphere.
 185 Due to presences of proteins and enzymes, plants and associated microorganisms degrade organic
 186 pollutants, like DDT. We could find this mechanism in *Elodea canadensis* [45] and *Pueraria*
 187 *thunbergiana* [39].

188 **3.2.1.3 Rhizofiltration**

189 Rhizofiltration is a technique of water remediation involving the uptake of contaminants and mainly
 190 metals (e.g. Zn, Pb, Cd, As) from water and aqueous waste streams by plant roots. Some studies of
 191 *Brassica juncea* [46] and *Helianthus annus* [39] can present it.

192 **3.2.1.4 Phytostabilization**

193 Phytostabilization is a technique using plants to reduce the bioavailability of pollutants (e.g. Cu, Cd,
 194 Cr, Ni, Pb, Zn) in the environment, e.g. *Anthyllis vulneraria* [39], *Festuca arvernensis* [47] and
 195 *Koeleria vallesiana* [48].

196 **3.2.1.5 Phytovolatilization**

197 Phytovolatilization means to the uptake of organic contaminants from water and using plants to
 198 volatilize pollutants (e.g. Se, CCl₄, EDB, TCE). *Stanleya pinnata* [39] and *Zea mays* [49] are working
 199 in the similar way.

200 **3.2.3 Remediation for heavy metal contamination**

201 Plants which lived in high content of metal environment gradually shape resistance to metals in the
 202 long bio-adaptive evolutionary process. Some plants can largely intake and store metal elements from
 203 environments, and grow normally, they are called Metal Hyperaccumulators. This concept was first
 204 proposed by Brooks in 1977 and used in hyperaccumulators of nickel, then expanded to all Metals
 205 Hyperaccumulators [50]. Phytoremediation of heavy metal contamination means to transfer metal
 206 contamination into certain place of plants in the form of ions, then fixing it in certain environmental
 207 room by plants to prevent it from further spreading. Szymanowska A. et al. researched contaminated
 208 lake and found the concentration of Cr, Cd, Fe, Ni, Zn et al. in *Nymphaea alba*, *Nuphar lutea*, *Cerat-*
 209 *hyllum demersum*, *Phragmites communis*, *Typha latifolia* and *Schoenoplectus lacustris* have
 210 preferable correlation with that in environments. They inferred that aquatic plants stored cadmium
 211 and chromium mainly from sediments of the lake, and stored iron from water [51]. H.
 212 Dahmani-Muller et al. researched the tolerance and absorption mechanism of several plants to heavy
 213 metals around a metal smelter. The result indicated that *C. halleri* was the hyperaccumulator of Zn
 214 and Cd, and the enrichment site focused on leaves above ground [52]. Entry et al. found that
 215 sunflower can enrich radioactive element U excessively, and the content of U is 5,000 to 10,000 times
 216 of that in water [53]. For insoluble and not soluble metals, like Pb, Cu, Au, Pt, chelate-induced
 217 remediation contributes to plants absorb metals [54].

218 Algal bioremediation, using algae to remove pollutants from water, has been well studied over the
 219 past 40 years [55-58]. Since the 1980s, considerable research effort has been devoted to the
 220 development of algal biosorbents for remediating pollutants, particularly heavy metals [59]. Small
 221 scale laboratory experiments have shown it is possible to cultivate freshwater algae in these
 222 metal-contaminated waste streams with the addition of limiting macronutrients such as nitrogen and
 223 phosphorus [60]. Adey et al. found that algae bed filtration system can also be used to clear heavy
 224 metals. However, this applied technology is not proficient and popular now [61].

225 **3.2.4 Remediation for Eutrophication**

226 Aquatic advanced plants usually grow root systems and large leaf area, which can intake nutrients,
 227 such as nitrogen, phosphorus in water, then control the eutrophication and get water purified. In
 228 addition, there are no chemicals used in treating process, and not producing harmful by-products,
 229 therefore it is a nice processing technology to environmental protection. Dai M. et al. discovered that
 230 the recovery of *Potamogeton crispus* L. could effectively reduce the concentration of nutrients and
 231 contents of suspended matters of water, thus improving conditions of eutrophication [62].

232 The earliest use of algae in pollution control was developed for sewage wastewater where its
 233 uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus was harnessed [55]. The capacity of luxury uptake of some algae
 234 or bioconcentration of nitrogen and phosphorus has also been utilized for bioremediation of waste
 235 water in aquaculture (integrated aquaculture) [63].

236 **3.2.5 Remediation for Organic Contamination**

237 The sophisticated physiological and biochemical characteristics of plants can degrade polycyclic
 238 aromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls et al. to be non-toxic components. The root
 239 systems of plants can release organic acid, amino acids, sugars, proteins, nucleic acids et al. into
 240 rhizospheric soil, and these excretions contain organized enzymes, which can degrade and transform
 241 organic compounds, make plants remediate polluted water body become possible [64]. According to
 242 the report of Betts et al., the result of remediation of surface water in Army Ammo Depot of Iowa
 243 State in USA polluted by exploders by aquatic plants and wetland plants indicated that *Potamogeton*,
 244 *Pueraria lobata* and *Ceratophyllum demersum* and poplars cultivated around the wet land can
 245 dislodge 0.019 mg/L TNT per day [65]. Roxanne et al. researched phytoremediation of surface water
 246 contaminated by TNT, found that removal of degradation can reach one hundred percent [66].

247 **3.2.6 Remediation for Pesticide Pollution**

248 Aquatic plants have large lipid-rich epidermal area, which can surely be utilized to absorb lipophilic
 249 organochlorine pesticides. Duckweed, waterweed et al. can totally enrich DDT from water in six days
 250 under aseptic conditions, and one percent to thirteen percent of DDT can be degraded to be DDD or
 251 DDE [67]. Furthermore, the addition of duckweed, waterweed or hornwort can significantly reduce
 252 the concentration of metolachlor in surface water [68].

253 **3.3 Animal Remediation**

254 Aquatic animal communities have certain remediation effects to eutrophication of water. For example,
 255 stocking zooplankton and breeding fish can reduce impacts of algae in water. *Anodonta* has dramatic
 256 capacity to purify Pb^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Cr^{2+} et al. naturally, but with longer period of treatments and more cost
 257 than general methods. Enhance, animal remediation is chiefly applied as a biological indicator of
 258 heavy metal pollution in environments, but less used in pollution management. Niu M F et al. found
 259 that earthworms exhibit a phenomenon of significant enrichment for Cd in sediments of rivers [69].
 260 Lasat thought that researches of interaction among animals, microorganisms and plants in the soil are
 261 important to the further development of technology of bioremediation [70].

262 **3.4 Other Methods Applied in Bioremediation**

263 **3.4.1 Addition of Nutrient Activators or Surfactants**

264 The deficiency of nutrients is the major limited factor for microbes to degrade contamination in water,
 265 therefore adding nutrient salts can enhance the metabolic activity of microorganisms. Levy et al.
 266 created a neurotransmitter of reduction agent for pollutants to control organic and inorganic
 267 contamination in aquatic and terrestrial environments. Besides microbial agents, there are nutritional
 268 agents inside, which can remediate water polluted by oil, diesel, gasoline et al. [71]. Stanish I et al.
 269 used biostimulation produced by EIT in USA to make the locale remediation test, found it could
 270 promote degrading organic matters in water and significantly remove contaminations like ammonia
 271 nitrogen and phosphorus [72].

272 **3.4.2 Precipitation & Ion Exchange**

273 Precipitation is defined as non-directed physico-chemical complexation reaction between dissolved
 274 contaminants and charged cellular components. Ion exchange is to remove ions from the aqueous
 275 phase by exchanges of cations or anions between the contaminants and mediums. Oxidants and pH in
 276 ground water may affect the exchange. There was a research showed that humic acids and
 277 anthraquinone compounds were as electron acceptors in the process of degradation of organic matters
 278 [73].

279 **3.4.3 Reverse Osmosis**

280 Reverse osmosis is a way by an applied pressure forcing the flow of water from a more concentrated
 281 solution to a less one. It can be used in desalination of sea water and remove pollutants and
 282 microorganisms, but cost high energy.

283 **3.4.4 Microfiltration**

284 Microfiltration membranes are used at a constant pressure to remove dissolved solids rapidly. They
 285 are commonly used in wastewater treatment, and the rate of reuse of original waste water is more than
 286 90%.

287 **3.4.5 Electrodialysis**

288 Electrodialysis uses cation and anion membrane pairs with exchange to remove the dissolved solids
 289 efficiently, but it is only exploited commercially.

290 **3.5 Factors of Bioremediation**

291 Bioremediation process is a complex system with many factors. These factors include biological,
 292 environmental and pollutants' factors.

293 **3.5.1 Biological Factors**

294 The energy source and the carbon source are the main requirements for microbes to adapt and grow at
 295 subzero temperature, as well as extreme heat, desert conditions, in water, with an excess of oxygen,
 296 and in anaerobic conditions, with the presence of hazardous compounds or on any waste stream. Once
 297 the enrichment of the capable microbial populations and production of toxic metabolites are formed,
 298 environmental hazards can be degraded or remediated.

299 Aerobic microorganisms are in the presence of oxygen, many of them use contaminants as the sole
 300 source of carbon and energy. Anaerobic bacteria is in the absence of oxygen and used less frequently
 301 than aerobic bacteria. Some fungi have the ability to degrade extremely environmental pollutants.
 302 What's more, there is the microbial interaction between different microorganisms.

303 **3.5.2 Environmental Factors**

304 Nutrients are the basic building blocks of life and allow microbes to create the necessary enzymes to
 305 break down the contaminants. They need carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, hydrogen to constitute 95% of the

306 weight of cells. They also need phosphorous, sulfur and potassium.

307 Optimal environmental conditions are good for the degradation of contaminants. Temperature, pH,
 308 moisture etc. readily affect microbial growth and activity. The range of temperature for microbial
 309 activity is 15 to 45 °C, above them, the cell would die. 20% to 30% of water-holding capacity and 6.5
 310 to 8.0 of pH will be beneficial to microorganisms. The soil should not have low clay or silt content,
 311 and some heavy metals can promote microbial growing.

312 **3.5.3 Pollutants**

313 Too low concentration of contaminants affects the survival of the microorganisms. Pollutants cannot
 314 be too toxic. Some types of contaminants can be degraded only by especial microbes. For degradation,
 315 it is necessary that bacteria and contaminants to be in contact. This is not easily achieved, as neither
 316 the microbes nor contaminants are uniformly spreading in environments.

317 **3.6 Status**

318 Bioremediation is a natural process and is therefore perceived by the public as an acceptable waste
 319 treatment process for contaminated water. Many compounds that are considered to be hazardous
 320 legally can be degraded. Residues of the treatment are usually harmless products. Bioremediation can
 321 be proved less expensive than other technologies to clean-up contaminants. It also eliminates the
 322 potential threats to human health and environment.

323 On the other hand, bioremediation is limited to those compounds that are biodegradable. Not all
 324 compounds are susceptible to rapid and complete degradation. It requires the presence of
 325 metabolically capable microbial populations, suitable environmental conditions for growth, and
 326 appropriate levels of contaminants as important factors. Furthermore, bioremediation often takes
 327 longer time than other treatment options.

328 **4. Discussion**

329 Although bioremediation technology is promising and has been proven to be effective, the
 330 relationship between bioremediation and ecological food chain and potential impacts of them to
 331 human health and environment are still unknown, further research is needed to develop and engineer
 332 bioremediation technologies that are appropriate for sites with complex mixtures of contaminants.
 333 There is no accepted definition of “clean”, evaluating performance of bioremediation is still difficult,
 334 and there are no acceptable endpoints for bioremediation treatments. If used properly, bioremediation
 335 has minimal adverse effects since it can be applied with little or no disruption to contaminated sites.
 336 In short, Bioremediation should be improved by us through biotechnology tools to enhance its
 337 exploitation for managing environmental pollution in a sustainable pattern.

338 **Acknowledgements**

339 The financial support of Yangtze University Laboratory Research Center is acknowledged.

340 **Conflict of Interest**

341 We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

342 **References**

- 343 [1] Howard G, Bartram J (2003) Domestic Water Quantity, Service Level and Health. Geneva: World Health
 344 Organisation, Available: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2003/WHO_SDE_WSH_03.02.pdf. Accessed May
 345 2009.

- 346 [2] Data 360 (2010) Average water use per person per day. Available: http://www.data360.org/dsg.aspx?Data_Set_Group_Id=757. Accessed July 2010.
- 347 [3] T. Cairney. Contaminated Land, p. 4, Blackie, London (1993).
- 348 [4] Cybulski Z, Dzuirla E, Kaczorek E, Olszanowski A, 2003. The influence of emulsifiers on hydrocarbon
- 349 biodegradation by *Pseudomonadacea* and *Bacillacea* strains. Spill Science and Technology Bulletin 8:
- 350 503-507.
- 351 [5] Eccles H. and Hunt S, 1986. In: Immobilization of ions by biosorption, John Wiley & Sons, New York. Pp
- 352 16-46.
- 353 [6] Kamaludeen SPBK, Arunkumar KR, Avudainayagam S, Ramasamy K, 2003. Bioremediation of chromium
- 354 contaminated environments. Indian Journal of Experimental Biology 41: 972-985.
- 355 [7] Strong PJ. and Burgess JE, 2008. Treatment methods for wine-related ad distillery wastewaters: a review.
- 356 Bioremediation Journal 12: 70-87.
- 357 [8] Bonaventura C, Bonaventura J, Bodishbaugh DF. Environmental remediation: applications and new
- 358 horizons. In: Ecotoxicity and Human Health, A Biological Approach to Environmental Remediation (de
- 359 Serres FJ, Bloom AD, eds). Boca Raton, FL:CRC Press, 1996, 211-242.
- 360 [9] Chaudhry GR, ed. Biological Degradation and Bioremediation of Toxic Chemicals. Portland,
- 361 OR:Dioscorides Press, 1994.
- 362 [10] Laws, E. Aquatic Pollution. An Introductory Text. 2nd ed. New York:Wiley & Sons, 1993.
- 363 [11] Sayler GS, Fox R, Blackburn JW, eds. Environmental Biotechnology for Waste Treatment. New
- 364 York:Plenum Press, 1991.
- 365 [12] Weber WJ Jr, DiGiano FA. Process Dynamics in Environmental Systems. New York:Wiley & Sons, 1996.
- 366 [13] Hess A, Zarda B, Hahn D, Hanner A, Stax D, 1997. In situ analysis of denitrifying toluene and m-xylene
- 367 degrading bacteria in a diesel fuel contaminated laboratory aquifer column. Applied and Environmental
- 368 Microbiology 63: 2136-2141.
- 369 [14] Agarwal SK, 1998. Environmental Biotechnology, 1st Edition, APH Publishing Corporation, New Delhi,
- 370 India. 267-289pp.
- 371 [15] Smith VH, Graham DW, Cleland DD, 1998. Application of resource-ratio theory to hydrocarbon
- 372 biodegradation. Environmental Science and Technology 32: 3386-3395.
- 373 [16] Tang CY, Criddle QS Fu CS, Leckie JO, 2007. Effect of flux (transmembrane pressure) and membranes
- 374 properties on fouling and rejection of reverse osmosis and nanofiltration membranes treating
- 375 perfluorooctane sulfonate containing waste water. Environmental Science and Technology 41: 2008-2014.
- 376 [17] J. G. Mueller, C. E. Cerniglia, P. H. Pritchard. Bioremediation of Environments Contaminated by
- 377 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons. In Bioremediation: Principles and Applications, pp. 125–194,
- 378 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996).
- 379 [18] Wang Q R, Liu X M, Cui Y S, et al, Bioremediation of organic pollution in water and soil and its
- 380 application[J]. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 2001,21(1):159-163.
- 381 [19] Lee, J.K., D. Park, B.U. Kim, J.I. Dong and S. Lee, 1998. Remediation of petroleum contaminated soil by
- 382 fluidized thermal desorption. Waste Manage., 18: 503-507. DOI: 10.1016/S0956-053X(98)00135-4.
- 383 [20] Shen D Z. Bioremediation of Polluted Environment[M]. Beijing: Chemical Industry Press, 2002.
- 384 [21] Wang J L, Wen X H. Modern Environmental Biotechnology[M]. Beijing: Tsinghua University Press, 2001.
- 385 [22] Barnes L J. Proceedings of EMC [M]. New York: (1)Elsevier. 1991.
- 386 [23] Xia L J, Hua L and Li X Q. The mechanism of bioremediation on heavy metal pollution and its research
- 387 development[J]. Acta Agriculturae Nucleatae Sinica, 1998, 12(1): 59-64.
- 388 [24] UK Environmental Agency. Environmental ISSUES series-aquatic eutrophication in England and Wales

- 390 [R]. UK Environmental Agency Consultative Report, December 1998.
- 391 [25] Pinar G, et al. Removal of high concentrations of nitrate from industrial wastewaters by bacteria[J]. Appl.
392 Environ. Microbiol., 1997, 63: 2071-2073.
- 393 [26] Sylvestre S, et al. Removal performance of nitrogen and phosphorus compounds by a photobioreactor
394 using a biomass of cyanobacteria *Phormidium bohneri*[J]. Environ. Technol., 1996, 17:697-706.
- 395 [27] Ma W Y, Yang L Y. Environmental Microbiology[M]. Nanjing: Nanjing University Press, 1998.
- 396 [28] April T M, Abbott S P and Foght J M. Degradation of hydrocarbons in crude oil by the ascomycete *Pseud*
397 *allescheria bovclii* (Microascaceae)[J]. Can J. Microbiol., 1998, 44(3): 270-278.
- 398 [29] Hozumi Toyohart, et al. Bioremediation on the shore after an oil spill from the Nakhodka in the Japan
399 Sea[J]. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 2000, 40(4): 308-324.
- 400 [30] Numata. Microorganism and method for environmental purification using the same[P]. US Patent:6,
401 171,844,2001.
- 402 [31] IMAAI-Maghrabi, A O B Aqil, M R Islam, et al. Use of thermophilic bacteria for bioremediation of
403 petroleum contaminants[J]. Energy Sources, 1999, 21(1-2): 17-29.
- 404 [32] Braddooek J F, Lindstrom J E, Brown E J. Distribution of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms in
405 sediments from Prince William Sound. Alaska. following the Exxon-valdez oil spill[J]. Marine Pollution
406 Bull, 1995. (30):125-132.
- 407 [33] Khalid M. Study on trace organic (PAHs, PCBs and Pesticides) in coastal marine environment and their
408 interaction with microorganisms (doctoral dissertation[C]). 2000. 3.
- 409 [34] Cord DJ, Khalil A. 1995. Detection , isolation , and stability of megaplasmid-encoded chloroaromatic
410 herbicide-degrading genes within *Pseudomonas* species [J]. Adv. Appl. Microbiol . , 40 :289-321.
- 411 [35] Struthers J K, Jayachandran K, Moorman TB. 1998. Biodegradation of atrazine by *Agrobacterium*
412 *radiobacter* J14a and use of this strain in bioremediation of contaminated soil [J] . A ppl. Environ.
413 Microbiol. , 64 (9):3368-3375.
- 414 [36] Allan W, Sarah JW, et al. 1996. Induction and transfer of enhanced biodegradation of the herbicide
415 napropamide in soils[J]. Pestic. Sci. ,47 :131-135.
- 416 [37] Top E, Forney LJ. 1994. The significance of degradative plasmids in soil bioremediation. Mededelingen
417 faculteit landbouwkundige en toegepaste biologische wetenschappen. [J]. Univ. Gent (Belgium),59
418 (4a) :1847-1855.
- 419 [38] Shao Z. Q, Behkj R. 1996. Characterization of the expression of the B gene, coding for a
420 pesticide-degrading cytochrome P2450 in *Rhodococcus* strains [J]. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. ,
421 62(2) :403-407.
- 422 [39] Prescott LM, Harley JP, Klein DA, 2002. Microbiology, 5th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York. 1014pp.
- 423 [40] U.S. EPA. Phytoremediation Resource Guide. EPA/542/B-99/003 (1999), available online at
424 <http://www.epa.gov/tio>.
- 425 [41] U.S. EPA. Introduction to Phytoremediation. EPA/600/R-99/107 (February 2000).
- 426 [42] I. Raskin and B. D. Ensley. Phytoremediation of Toxic Metals: Using Plants to Clean Up The Environment,
427 Wiley, New York (2000).
- 428 [43] Macek T, Mackova M, Kas J, 2000. Exploitation of plants for the removal of organics in environmental
429 remediation. Biotechnology Advances 18: 23-34.
- 430 [44] Zhuang P, Yang QW, Wang HB, Shu WS, 2007. Phytoextraction of heavy metals by eight plant species in
431 the field. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 184: 235-242.
- 432 [45] Garrison AW, Nzengung VA, Avants JK, Ellington JJ, Jones EW, Rennels D, Wolfet NL, 2000.
433 Phytodegradation of p, p' - DDT and the enantiomers of o, p' – DDT. Environmental Science and

- 434 Technology 34: 1663-1670.
- 435 [46] Dushenkov V, Nanda Kumar PBA, Motto H, Raskin I, 1995. Rhizofiltration: the use of plants to remove
436 heavy metals from aqueous streams. Environmental Science and Technology 29: 1239-1245.
- 437 [47] Frerot H, Lefebvre C, Gruber W, Collin C, Dos Santos A, Escarre J, 2006. Specific interactions between
438 local metallophilic plants improve the phytostabilization of mine soils. Plant and Soil 282: 53-65.
- 439 [48] Vazquez S, Agha A, Granado A, Sarro M, Esteban E, Penalosa J, Carpene R, 2006. Use of white Lupin
440 plant for phytostabilization of Cd and As polluted acid soil. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 177: 349-365.
- 441 [49] Ayotamuno JM. and Kogbara RB, 2007. Determining the tolerance level of Zea mays (maize) to a crude oil
442 polluted agricultural soil. African Journal of Biotechnology 6: 1332-1337.
- 443 [50] Moffat A S. Plants proving their worth in toxic metal cleanup. Science. 1995, 269. 302-303.
- 444 [51] Szymanowska A, Samecka-Cymerman A and Kempers A J. Heavy metal in three lakes in west Poland[J].
445 Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 1999, 43: 21-29.
- 446 [52] Dahmani-Muller H, et al. Strategies of heavy metal uptake by three plant species growing near a metal
447 smelter[J]. Environmental Pollution, 2000, 109:231-238.
- 448 [53] Entry J A, Vance N C, et al. Phytoremediation of soil contaminated with low concentrations of
449 radionuclides [J]. Water, Air and Soil Pollution, 1996, 88: 167-176.
- 450 [54] Luo Y M. Chelate-induced phytoremediation and its environmental risk [J]. Soils, 2000, 2:57-61.
- 451 [55] Ryther JH, Tenore KR, Dunstan WM, Huguenin JE (1972) Controlled eutrophication-increasing food
452 production from sea by recycling human wastes. Bioscience 22:144.
- 453 [56] Kuyucak N, Volesky B (1988) Biosorbents for recovery of metals from industrial solutions. Biotechnology
454 Letters 10: 137-142.
- 455 [57] Romero-Gonzalez ME, Williams CJ, Gardiner PHE (2001) Study of the mechanisms of cadmium
456 biosorption by dealginate seaweed waste. Environmental Science & Technology 35: 3025-3030.
- 457 [58] Fu F, Wang Q (2011) Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewaters: A review. Journal of Environmental
458 Management 92: 407-418.
- 459 [59] Hubbe MA, Hasan SH, Ducoste JJ (2011) Cellulosic substrates for removal of pollutants from aqueous
460 systems: A review. 1. Metals Bioresources 6: 2161-U2914.
- 461 [60] Saunders RJ, Paul NA, Hu Y, de Nys R (2012) Sustainable sources of biomass for bioremediation of heavy
462 metals in waste water derived from coal-fired power generation. PLOS ONE 7: e36470. PubMed:
463 22590550.
- 464 [61] Adey W H, Luckett C, Smith M. Purification of industrially contaminated groundwaters using controlled
465 ecosystems. Ecol. Eng. 1996, 7:191-212.
- 466 [62] Dai M, Ni L Y, Xie P, et al. Experimental study on the effects of submersed macrophyte on the water
467 eutrophication using large-sized mesocosm[J]. Acta Hydrobiologica Sinica, 1999, 23(2): 97-101.
- 468 [63] Chopin T, Robinson S (2004) Defining the appropriate regulatory and policy framework for the
469 development of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture practices: introduction to the workshop and
470 positioning of the issues. Bulletin of the Aquaculture Association of Canada 104: 4-10.
- 471 [64] Meagher R B. Phytoremediation of toxic elemental and organic pollutants[J]. Current Opinion in Plant
472 Biology, 2000, 3:153-162.
- 473 [65] Betts KS. Native aquatic plants remove explosives [J]. Environ Sci Technol, 1997,(1):304A.
- 474 [66] Roxanne R, Medina V F, Larson S L, et al. Phytoremediation of TNT-contaminated ground water [J]. Soil
475 Contam, 1998, 7(4):511-529.
- 476 [67] Gao J, Garrison A W, Hochamer C, et al. Uptake and phytotransformation of o-, p'-DDT and p,p'-DDT by
477 axenically cultivated aquatic plants [J]. J Agric Food Chem, 2000, 48:6121-6127.

- 478 [68] Rice P J, Anderson TA ,Coats J R. Phytoremediation of herbicide-contaminated surface water with aquatic
479 plants[R]. Washington D C:American Chemical Society,1997.
- 480 [69] Niu M F, Cui Y Z. Function of earthworm for accumulation of Cd from garbage and sediments[J]. Rural
481 Eco-Environment, 1997. 13(3):53-54.
- 482 [70] Lasat M M. Phytoextraction of toxic metals: A review of biological mechanisms [J]. J. Environ. Qual.,
483 2002, 31(1):109-120.
- 484 [71] Klepac J, Simmons DL, Taylor RW, et al. Use of lig-and-modified micellar-enhanced ultra filtration in the
485 selective removal of metal ions from water[J]. Sep. Sci. Technol. 1991, 26:165-173.
- 486 [72] Stanish I, Monbouquette HG. Engineering A2318/ED-TA-containing metal-sorbing vehicles for selective
487 extraction of divalent heavy metal ions [J]. J. Membrane Sci. 2001, 192:99-113.
- 488 [73] J A Field, F J Gervantes, F P Vander Zee, et al. Role of Quinones in the Biodegradation of Priority
489 Pollutants: A Review[J]. Water Science and Technology. 2000, 42(5-6):215-222.